

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,953	04/13/2004	William D. Sigworth	0176-PA-CIP	5455
7:	590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
CROMPTON CORPORATION			THEXTON, MATTHEW	
BENSON ROAD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MIDDLEBURY, CT 06749			1714	THER NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/823,953	SIGWORTH, WIL	LIAM D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Matthew A. Thextor					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sl	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by such any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however b. a reply within the statutory minimuriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the d	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the at	ached Office Action or form P1	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receive	h				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu			3-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copie	s not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date´. ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC	2 152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>three sheets</u> .	/08) 5) 🔲 Not 6) 🔲 Oth		J-192)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20050607			

Application/Control Number: 10/823,953 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Analysis

Claim 1 is directed to processes comprising mixing three components

(1) at least one natural fiber,

(2) at least one polyolefin resin, and

(3) at least one functionalized polyolefin coupling agents which has a MWD of greater than 2.5 and comprises more than 1 mmole of grafted polar monomer per 100 grams of coupling agent.

Dependent claims 2-13 further limit the type of natural fiber, the amount of natural fiber, the amount of grafted polar monomer, the presence and type of comonomers in the polyolefin, the type of polar monomer.

Independent claim 14 is directed to a material substantially corresponding to that defined in claim 1.

Independent claim 15 is directed to a material substantially corresponding to that defined in claim 1 with the addition of a lubricant selected from the group consisting of fatty acid amides and fatty acid esters.

35 USC § 102 and 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Epolene Polymers" (publication WA-5E, November 2002, Eastman Chemical Company) taken with evidentiary reference "Epolene Waxes" (publication F-301E, December 1996, Eastman Chemical Company).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

"Epolene Polymers" suggests using Epolene coupling agents in composites of natural fibers used to reinforce polyolefins (second page, paragraphs 1 and 4).

"Epolene Waxes" establishes that maleated polyolefins C-16, C-18, E-43 were available and were advertised to have certain MWDs, at the time of the invention. In particular, the maleated polyethylenes C-16 and C-18 have MWDs of 4.6 and 2.6 respectively (page 8).

Art Unit: 1714

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the maleated polyethylenes of "Epolene Polymers" in the manner suggested in that reference.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Epolene Polymers" (publication WA-5E, November 2002, Eastman Chemical Company) taken with evidentiary reference "Epolene Waxes" (publication F-301E, December 1996, Eastman Chemical Company) and further in view of Wolcott et al. ("Coupling Agent/Lubricant...").

The present claim is broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

References "Epolene Polymers" and "Epolene Waxes" are discussed immediately above, which is incorporated here by reference.

Wolcott et al. discloses the use of fatty ester amide lubricant for these wood plastic composites. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the lubricants of Wolcott et al. in the mixtures of the rejection based on the combination of references since it is suggested by Wolcott et al. to be beneficial.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godavarti et al. (US 6265037-B1) in view of "Epolene Polymers" (publication WA-5E,

Art Unit: 1714

November 2002, Eastman Chemical Company) taken with evidentiary reference "Epolene Waxes" (publication F-301E, December 1996, Eastman Chemical Company).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

Godavarti et al. (US 6265037-B1) discloses polypropylene filled mixtures using polypropylene, a compatibilizer of maleic anhydride modified polyolefin (claim 13), and wood fiber (claims). Although only maleated polypropylenes are exemplified (column 17, line 7 to column 18, line 12), the reference suggests polyolefins broadly.

"Epolene Polymers" suggests using Epolene coupling agents in composites of natural fibers used to reinforce polyolefins (second page, paragraphs 1 and 4).

"Epolene Waxes" establishes that maleated polyolefins C-16, C-18, E-43 were available and were advertised to have certain MWDs, at the time of the invention. In particular, the maleated polyethylenes C-16 and C-18 have MWDs of 4.6 and 2.6 respectively (page 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the maleated polyethylenes of "Epolene Polymers" in the mixtures of '037 given the broad suggestion that maleated polyolefins would be useful.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godavarti et al. (US 6265037-B1) in view of "Epolene Polymers" (publication WA-5E, November 2002, Eastman Chemical Company) taken with evidentiary reference

Art Unit: 1714

"Epolene Waxes" (publication F-301E, December 1996, Eastman Chemical Company) and further in view of Wolcott et al. ("Coupling Agent/Lubricant...").

The present claim is broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

References '037, "Epolene Polymers" and "Epolene Waxes" are discussed immediately above, which is incorporated here by reference.

Wolcott et al. discloses the use of fatty ester amide lubricant for these wood plastic composites. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the lubricants of Wolcott et al. in the mixtures of the rejection based on the combination of references since it is suggested by Wolcott et al. to be beneficial.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Sigworth et al. ("The Use of Functionalized Polyolefins...").

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

The reference discloses natural fiber and polyolefin composites in which maleated polyolefins are employed. The maleated polyethylenes, Polybond 3009 and 3109, are reasonably thought to have broad molecular weight distribution based on the disclosures of the similar Epolene products, and thus necessarily inherently satisfy the present claims.

Art Unit: 1714

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sigworth et al. ("The Use of Functionalized Polyolefins...") and further in view of Wolcott et al. ("Coupling Agent/Lubricant...").

The present claim is broadly discussed hereinabove in the section *Claims***Analysis* which is incorporated by reference.

Reference Sigworth et al. is discussed immediately above, which is incorporated here by reference.

Wolcott et al. discloses the use of fatty ester amide lubricant for these wood plastic composites. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the lubricants of Wolcott et al. in the mixtures of the rejection based on the reference since it is suggested by Wolcott et al. to be beneficial.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mitsuno et al. (US 4868226) discloses polypropylene filled mixtures using polypropylene, modified polypropylene containing maleic anhydride monomers (column 8, lines 17-19, column 9, lines 21-26), and woodmeal or cellulose fiber (column 4, lines 27-28). It cannot be determined what the MWD is for the maleated polypropylenes.

Krishnaswany (US 2003/0065059-A1) discloses polypropylene filled mixtures using polypropylene, maleic anhydride modified polypropylene, Polybond 3200

Application/Control Number: 10/823,953 Page 8

Art Unit: 1714

(paragraph 64), and fibrillated bast fibers. It cannot be determined what the MWD is for the maleated polypropylene.

Jacoby et al. (US 2002/0161072-A1) is considered cumulative to the disclosure of Godavarti et al. (US 6265037-B1).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Thexton whose telephone number is 571-272-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasudevan S. Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mcd. Thexton

Matthew A. Thexton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714